arXiv:1605.03139v1 [math.AG] 10 May 2016

A NOTE ON STABLE SHEAVES ON ENRIQUES SURFACES II

KŌTA YOSHIOKA

Abstract. We shall give a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of stable sheaves on non-classical Enriques surfaces.

0. Introduction

Let X be an arbitrary Enriques surface over an algebraically closed field k. In [13], we studied the non-emptyness of the moduli space of stable sheaves on classical Enriques surfaces, i.e., $K_X \neq 0$ based on results by Kim [7] and Nuer [10]. In this note, we treat the case of non-classical Enriques surfaces, i.e., $K_X = 0$, and prove the same result holds. For a coherent sheaf E on X, we define the Mukai vector $v(E)$ of E as $v(E) := (\operatorname{rk} E, c_1(E), \chi(E) - \frac{\operatorname{rk} E}{2}) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{NS}(X) \times \mathbb{Q}$. For two Mukai vectors $v = (r, L, a)$ and $v' = (r', L', a')$, $\langle v, v' \rangle := (L, L') - ra' - r'a \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the Mukai pairing. Let $M_H(v)$ be the moduli scheme of stable sheaves E with $v(E) = v$. If v is primitive, then $M_H(v)$ is projective for a general H.

Theorem 0.1. *Let X be an Enriques surface over k. We take $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ $(r > 0)$ and $L \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$ such that $r+s$ is even. Assume that $\gcd(r, L, \frac{r+s}{2}) = 1$, i.e., the Mukai vector $(r, L, \frac{s}{2})$ is primitive. Then $M_H(r, L, \frac{s}{2}) \neq \emptyset$ for a general H if and only if*

(i) $\gcd(r, L, s) = 1$ *and* $(L^2) - rs \geq -1$ *or*
(ii) $\gcd(r, L, s) = 2$ *and* $(L^2) - rs \geq 2$ *or*
(iii) $\gcd(r, L, s) = 2$, $(L^2) - rs = 0$ *and* $L \equiv \frac{r}{2} K_X \mod 2$ *or*
(iv) $(L^2) - rs = -2$, $L \equiv D + \frac{r}{2} K_X \mod 2$, *where D is a nodal cycle, that is, $(D^2) = -2$ and $H^1(\mathcal{O}_D) = 0$.*

Remark 0.2. If $(L, H') > 0$ for an ample divisor H', then the same claim holds for $r = 0$.

We note that $(L^2) - rs = \langle v(E)^2 \rangle \geq -2$ for any stable sheaves E with $v(E) = (r, L, \frac{s}{2})$. Since X is liftable to a field of characteristic 0 by [8, Thm. 4.10] or [5, Thm. 5.7], the non-emptyness of the moduli space is a consequence of the result for the case of characteristic 0, if $(L^2) - rs \geq 0$. Indeed $\mathrm{NS}(X) \mod K_X$ is locally constant ([8, Prop. 4.4], [5, Cor. 4.3]), which shows that we have a family of moduli spaces over a polarized family of Enriques surfaces. So we treat the case where $(L^2) - rs = -2$.

For the Mukai vector $v_0 = v(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) - k_x) = (2, K_X, 0)$, $M_H(v_0) = X$ and we have a Fourier-Mukai transform $\Phi^{\mathcal{E}}_{X \to X} : \mathbf{D}(X) \to \mathbf{D}(X)$ which acts on the set of Mukai vectors as $(r, L + \frac{r}{2} K_X, \frac{s}{2}) \mapsto (s, -(L + \frac{s}{2} K_X) + sK_X, \frac{r}{2})$ (Proposition 2.1). As in [13], by using [13, Cor. 4.5] we can reduce Theprem 0.1 (iv) to the following result which was proved by Kim [7] if X is classical.

Theorem 0.3. *For a Mukai vector $v = (2, L, \frac{s}{2})$ with $(L^2) - 2s = -2$, there is a stable sheaf E such that $v(E) = v$ if and only if $L \equiv D + K_X \mod 2$ where D is a nodal cycle.*

By modifying Kim's proof [7], we give a proof which works for non-classical case.

0.1. **Preliminaries.** Throughout this note, X denotes an Enriques surface over k. By the work of Bombieri and Mumford [2], there are two classes of Enriques surfaces.

1. **Classical Enriques surface**. $K_X \neq 0$, $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = H^2(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is smooth.
2. **Non-classical Enriques surface**. $K_X = 0$ and $\dim H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = \dim H^2(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1$. In this case, $\mathrm{char}(k) = 2$ and $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is non-reduced.

The torsion free quotient of $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ is unimodular. Thus $\mathrm{NS}(X)/\mathbb{Z}K_X \cong U \oplus E_8$, where U is the hyperbolic lattice [6]. For more detail of Enriques surfaces, we refer [2], [4], [5], [8]. In this note, $D = D'$ means $D = D'$ in $\mathrm{NS}(X) = \mathrm{Pic}(X)$, that is, $\mathcal{O}_X(D) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(D')$.

For the proof of Theorem 0.3, we use the following vanishing theorem in [4].

Lemma 0.4. *Let D be an effective divisor which is nef.*

Key words and phrases. Enriques surfaces, stable sheaves.
The author is supported by the Grant-in-aid for Scientific Research (No. 26287007, 24224001), JSPS.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14D20.

(1) *If* $(D^2) > 0$*, then Then* $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-D)) = H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-D+K_X)) = 0$.
(2) *If* $(D^2) = 0$ *and* D *is primitive in* $\mathrm{NS}(X)$*, then* $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-D+K_X)) = 0$.

Proof. (1) Since $(D, H) = (D-K_X, H) > 0$ and $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X(D-K_X)) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) > 0$, $D-K_X$ is also effective. Hence we shall prove $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-D)) = 0$. If $(D^2) > 0$, then $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-D)) = 0$ by [4, Cor. 3.1.3] (see also [9, Thm. 3] and [11, Cor. 8]).

(2) If $(D^2) = 0$, then by [4, Cor. 5.7.2], $\dim H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = 1$. Hence $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-D+K_X)) = H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(D))^\vee = 0$. □

Lemma 0.5 ([4, Cor. 2.7.1])**.** *For an effective divisor* D *with* $(D^2) > 0$*, there is an effective and isotropic divisor* f *with* $0 < (D, f) \leq \sqrt{(D^2)}$.

Proof. By the action of the Weyl group associated to the root system of smooth rational curves on X, we may assume that D is nef. By [4, Cor. 2.7.1], there is a divisor f such that $(f^2) = 0$ and $0 \leq (D, f) \leq \sqrt{(D^2)}$. By the Hodge index theorem, $(D, f) > 0$. $(f^2) = 0$ implies f or $K_X - f$ is effective. If $K_X - f$ is effective, then $(D, -f) \geq 0$ by the nefness of D. Hence f is effective. □

Lemma 0.6 ([1, Thm. 1.7])**.** *Let* D *be an effective divisor on* X *such that* $(D^2) = -2$.

(1) *If* D *is nodal, i.e.,* $H^1(\mathcal{O}_D) = 0$*, then*
  (a) $\mathcal{O}_D$ *is stable for any* H.
  (b) $\mathcal{O}_D(K_X) \cong \mathcal{O}_D$.
  (c) $\dim H^0(\mathcal{O}_D) = 1$, $\dim H^0(\mathcal{O}_D(D)) = 0$ *and* $\dim H^1(\mathcal{O}_D(D)) = 1$.
(2) D *is nodal if and only if* $(C^2) < 0$ *for all decomposition* $D = C + C'$ *by effective divisors.*

Proof. (1) By $\chi(\mathcal{O}_D) = -(D^2)/2 = 1$, $\dim H^0(\mathcal{O}_D) = 1$. For any quotient $\phi : \mathcal{O}_D \to E$ with $\dim E = 1$, there is a divisor C with $E = \mathcal{O}_C$. Hence $\chi(\mathcal{O}_C) = \dim H^0(\mathcal{O}_C) \geq 1$. Then $\chi(\mathcal{O}_D)/(D, H) < \chi(\mathcal{O}_C)/(C, H)$ if ϕ is not isomorphic. Therefore $\mathcal{O}_D$ is stable. Since K_X is numerically trivial, $\mathcal{O}_D(K_X)$ is also stable. Since $\chi(\mathcal{O}_D, \mathcal{O}_D) = -(D^2) = 2$, $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_D, \mathcal{O}_D(K_X)) \neq 0$, which implies $\mathcal{O}_D \cong \mathcal{O}_D(K_X)$. Since $\mathcal{O}_D(K_D) = \mathcal{O}_D(D)$, we also have the remaining claim.

(2) Assume that D is nodal. By the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X(D) \to \mathcal{O}_D(D) \to 0, \tag{0.1}$$

we have $\dim H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = 1$. Hence $D = C + C'$ in $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ implies $D = C + C'$ as a divisor. Thus $\mathcal{O}_C$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{O}_D$, which implies $H^1(\mathcal{O}_C) = 0$ and $(C^2) = -2\chi(\mathcal{O}_C) \leq -2$.

Conversely if $(C^2) < 0$ for all decomposition $D = C + C'$ by effective divisors, then [1, Thm.1.7] implies D is nodal. □

The following result is well-known.

Lemma 0.7. *Let* E *be a stable sheaf of* $\langle v(E)^2 \rangle = -2$*. Then* $E \cong E(K_X)$*. If* E *is torsion free, then it is locally free.*

Proposition 0.8. *Let* E *be a torsion free sheaf of rank 2 such that* E *is simple and* $\langle v(E)^2 \rangle = -2$*. Then it is* μ*-stable with respect to any polarization.*

Proof. Let H be an ample divisor. Assume that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to E_1 \to E \to E_2 \to 0 \tag{0.2}$$

such that E_1 and E_2 are torsion free sheaves of rank 1 and $(c_1(E_1) - c_1(E_2), H) \geq 0$. We note that $c_1(E_2) - c_1(E_1)$ is not numerical trivial, by $4 \nmid \langle v(E)^2 \rangle$. Since E is simple, $\mathrm{Hom}(E_2, E_1) = 0$. If $\mathrm{Hom}(E_1, E_2(K_X))^\vee = \mathrm{Ext}^2(E_2, E_1) \neq 0$, then $c_1(E_2) - c_1(E_1) + K_X$ is effective, since $c_1(E_2) - c_1(E_1) + K_X \neq 0$. On the other hand, $(c_1(E_1) - c_1(E_2), H) \geq 0$ implies $c_1(E_2) - c_1(E_1) + K_X$ is not effective. Hence we get $\mathrm{Ext}^2(E_2, E_1) = 0$. By the simpleness of E, (0.2) does not split, and hence $\mathrm{Ext}^1(E_2, E_1) \neq 0$. Therefore $\langle v(E_1), v(E_2) \rangle > 0$. Since $\langle v(E_1)^2 \rangle, \langle v(E_2)^2 \rangle \geq -1$, we see that

$$-2 = \langle v(E)^2 \rangle = \langle v(E_1)^2 \rangle + \langle v(E_2)^2 \rangle + 2\langle v(E_1), v(E_2) \rangle > -2,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore E is μ-stable with respect to H. □

1. Proof of Theorem 0.3

Proposition 1.1. *For a nodal cycle* D*, we take a non-trivial extension*

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \xrightarrow{\phi} E \to \mathcal{O}_X(D) \to 0. \tag{1.1}$$

(1) $\dim H^0(E) = \dim H^0(E(K_X)) = 1$.
(2) E *is* μ*-stable.*

Proof. (1) By the exact sequence (0.1), we have $\dim H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = 1$ and $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D+K_X)) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X))$. We also have $\dim H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = 1$ by $H^2(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = 0$ and $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = 0$. If $K_X \neq 0$, then $H^0(E) \cong H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D))$ and $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X) \cong H^0(E(K_X))$ implies the claim.

Assume that $K_X = 0$. Then $\mathrm{Ext}^i(\mathcal{O}_D(D), \mathcal{O}_X) = H^{i-1}(\mathcal{O}_D)$ and we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X(D), \mathcal{O}_X) &\longrightarrow \quad H^0(\mathcal{O}_X) \quad \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_D) \\ \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_X(D), \mathcal{O}_X) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_D). \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

Hence $\varphi : \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_X(D), \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X))$ is an isomorphism. By the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X(D), \mathcal{O}_X(D)) & \xrightarrow{\delta_1} & \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_X(D), \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\ k \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) & \xrightarrow{\delta_2} & \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)), \end{array} \tag{1.3}$$

δ_2 is an isomorphism, where δ_1 is the connecting homomorhism which is non-trivial by the construction of E. Hence we have $\dim H^0(E) = 1$ by $\dim H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X)) = 1$.

(2) The following argument is a modification of (iii) $\to$ (ii) of [7, Thm. 3.4]. Assume that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(A) \to E \to I_Z(B) \to 0 \tag{1.4}$$

such that $(A, H) \geq (B, H)$. Since $D = A + B - K_X$ is effective, $(A + B, H) > 0$, which implies $(A, H) > 0$. Since $\mathrm{coker}(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \to E)$ is torsion free and $\mathcal{O}_X(A) \ncong \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)$, $\mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \to E \to I_Z(B)$ is injective. Thus $B - K_X$ is effective or $B = K_X$. If $B = K_X$, then $Z = \emptyset$ and the exact sequences (1.1) and (1.4) split. So $B - K_X$ is effectieve. Since

$$1 = \chi(E) = \frac{(A^2)}{2} + 1 + \frac{(B^2)}{2} + 1 - \deg Z$$

and $-2 = ((A + B)^2)$, $\deg Z = -(A, B) \geq 0$. If $(A, B) < 0$, then

$$((A - B)^2) = ((A + B)^2) - 4(A, B) = -2 - 4(A, B) \geq 2,$$

which implies that $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X(A - B - K_X)) = ((A - B)^2)/2 + 1 > 0$. We set $C := A - B - K_X$ and $C' := 2B$. By $(C, H) \geq 0$ and the ampleness of H, C is effective with $(C^2) \geq 0$. Since C' is effective, we have a decomposition $D = C + C'$ which contradicts with the assumption of D by Lemma 0.6. Therefore $(A, B) = 0$.

Then $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X(A-B)) = ((A-B)^2)/2+1 = 0$. We first prove that $A-K_X$ is effective. If $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(A-B)) = 0$, then $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(A - B)) = 0$, which implies that $E \cong \mathcal{O}_X(A) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(B)$ and (1.1) is the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{O}_X(A) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(B) \to \mathcal{O}_X(D) \to 0.$$

In particular $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(A - K_X)) \neq 0$ by the effectivity of $B - K_X$ and the torsion freeness of $\mathrm{coker}\, \phi$. Since $(A - K_X, H) \geq (B - K_X, H) > 0$, $A - K_X$ is also effective. If $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(A - B)) \neq 0$, then $A - K_X = (A - B) + (B - K_X)$ is effective.

Hence we have a decomposition $D - K_X = A + B = (A - K_X) + (B - K_X)$ by effective divisors. Since $(A^2) + (B^2) = -2$, $(A^2) \geq 0$ or $(B^2) \geq 0$. If $(A^2) \geq 0$, then A is also effective. Thus we have a decomposition $D = A + (B - K_X)$ by effective divisors A and $B - K_X$, which contradicts with Lemma 0.6. If $(B^2) \geq 0$, similarly we get a contradiction. Therefore E is μ-stable. □

Remark 1.2. By using (1), we can directly show that E is simple, which gives another proof of (2) by Propisition 0.8.

Proposition 1.3 (cf. [7, Thm. 3.3])**.** *Let E be a μ-semi-stable simple sheaf of rank 2 such that $\langle v(E)^2 \rangle = -2$. Assume that $H^0(E) \neq 0$ and $H^0(E(-C)) = 0$ for all effective divisor C. Then E fits in an exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \to E \to \mathcal{O}_X(D) \to 0 \tag{1.5}$$

such that D is a nodal cycle.

Proof. We note that E is a μ-stable locally free sheaf with respect to any ample divisor H such that $E(K_X) \cong E$ by Lemma 0.7 and Proposition 0.8. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \to E \to I_Z(D) \to 0 \tag{1.6}$$

where $D = c_1(E) - K_X$ and $4 \deg Z - (D^2) = 2$. We note that $(D^2) \geq -2$. By the stability of E, we also have $(D, H) > 0$, which shows that $H^2(I_Z(D)) = 0$.

We first prove that $(D^2) = -2$ and $\deg Z = 0$. Assume that $(D^2) \geq 0$, that is, $\deg Z > 0$ and $(D^2) \geq 2$. Then $(D, H) > 0$ implies that D is effective. By Lemma 0.5, there is an effective divisor f such that $(f^2) = 0$ and $0 < (D, f) \leq \sqrt{(D^2)}$. Then for $0 \leq x \leq 1$,

$$((D - xf)^2) = (D^2) - 2x(D, f) \geq (D^2) - 2(D, f) \geq \sqrt{(D^2)}(\sqrt{(D^2)} - 2). \tag{1.7}$$

If $(D^2) \geq 4$, then obviously $(D^2) - 2(D, f) \geq 0$. If $(D^2) = 2$, then $(D, f) \leq 1$, which also implies $(D^2) - 2(D, f) \geq 0$. Since $D \notin \mathbb{Q}f$, we see that $(D - xf, H) > 0$. Hence $D - f$ is an effective divisor. We note that

$$\chi(E(-f)) = \chi(E) - (D, f) = \deg Z + 1 - (D, f) \geq \deg Z + 1 - \sqrt{4 \deg Z - 2}.$$

Since $(\deg Z+1)^2-(4 \deg Z-2) = (\deg Z-1)^2+2 > 0$, we have $\chi(E(-f)) > 0$, which implies $H^0(E(-f)) \neq 0$ or $\mathrm{Hom}(E, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + f)) \neq 0$. By our choice of E, we have $\mathrm{Hom}(E, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + f)) \neq 0$. Then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(D - f + C) \to E \to I_{Z'}(f + K_X - C) \to 0,$$

where $C = 0$ or C is an effective divisor. Since $D - f$ is effective, this case also does not occur. Therefore we get $(D^2) < 0$. Then $\deg Z = 0$ and $(D^2) = -2$.

Since E is stable, we have $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X - D))^\vee \neq 0$. Since $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = 0$ and $(D, H) > 0$, D is an effective divisor. Assume that D has a decomposition $D = C + C'$ such that C and C' are effective and $(C^2) \geq 0$. Then we have a decomposition $C = C_1 + R$ such that C_1 is an effective, nef divisor with $(C_1^2) = (C^2)$ and R is an effective divisor consisting of (-2)-curves. If $(C_1^2) > 0$, then Lemma 0.6 implies $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-C_1 + K_X)) = 0$, which implies $H^0(E(-C_1)) \neq 0$. If $(C_1^2) = 0$, then there is a decomposition $C_1 = C_2 + C_3$ by effective divisors such that C_2 is primitive in $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ and $(C_2^2) = 0$. In this case, we also have $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-C_2+K_X)) = 0$ by Lemma 0.6, and hence $H^0(E(-C_2)) \neq 0$. Therefore D is a nodal cycle. □

Remark 1.4. There are many locally free unstable sheaves E with $\langle v(E)^2 \rangle = -2$. Thus some conditions may be missing in the classification of [7, Thm. 3.3].

Proof of Theorem 0.3:

Let F be a stable sheaf with $\langle v(F)^2 \rangle = -2$. There is a divisor C such that $F(C)$ satisfies the assumption of Proposition 1.3. Then $D := c_1(F(C)) - K_X$ is nodal, which shows that $c_1(F) \equiv D + K_X \mod 2$.

Conversely for a Mukai vector $v = (2, L, a)$ with $L = D + K_X + 2C$, there is a μ-stable locally free sheaf E with $v(E(C)) = v$ by Proposition 1.1. □

2. Appendix

2.1. **Fourier-Mukai transforms.** *Let $p_i : X \times X \to X$ $(i = 1, 2)$ be the projection. Let $\Delta \subset X \times X$ be the diagonal. Then we have $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{p_2}(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X), I_\Delta) \cong \mathcal{O}_X$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the universal extension:*

$$0 \to I_\Delta \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \to 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Let $\Phi^{\mathcal{E}}_{X \to X} : \mathbf{D}(X) \to \mathbf{D}(X)$ be an integral functor whose kernel is $\mathcal{E}$:

$$\Phi^{\mathcal{E}}_{X \to X}(E) := \mathbf{R}p_{2*}(p_1^*(E) \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes} \mathcal{E}),\ E \in \mathbf{D}(X). \tag{2.2}$$

Proposition 2.1. (1) *$\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\} \times X}$ is stable for all $x \in X$ and*

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \phi : & X & \to & M_H(v_0) \\ & x & \mapsto & \mathcal{E}_{|\{x\} \times X} \end{array} \tag{2.3}$$

is an isomorphism.

(2) *$\Phi^{\mathcal{E}}_{X \to X}$ is an equivalence. In particular $\Phi^{\mathcal{E}}_{X \to X}$ induces an automorphism of $K(X)$ which is given by*

$$\Phi^{\mathcal{E}}_{X \to X}(E) = \chi(E)(\mathcal{O}_X + \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)) - E,\ E \in K(X). \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. We note that $\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\} \times X}$ $(x \in X)$ is a non-trivial extension

$$0 \to I_{\{x\}} \to \mathcal{E}_{|\{x\} \times X} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \to 0 \tag{2.5}$$

and $(\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\} \times X})^{\vee\vee}/\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\} \times X} \cong k_x$. If $\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\} \times X}$ $(x \in X)$ is not stable, then there is a torsion free subsheaf E_1 of $\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\} \times X}$ such that $\mathrm{rk}\, E_1 = 1$ and $\chi(E_1) \geq 1$. Then we see that $\varphi_{|E_1} \neq 0$, which implies (2.5) split. Therefore $\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\} \times X}$ $(x \in X)$ is stable, and we get the morphism ϕ. Since ϕ is injective, we have $\dim \mathrm{im}\, \phi = 2$. We also see that ϕ is an immersion (Remark 2.3).

Since $\chi(\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\} \times X}, \mathcal{E}_{|\{x\} \times X}) = 0$ and $\dim \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\} \times X}, \mathcal{E}_{|\{x\} \times X}) \geq \dim \mathrm{im}\, \phi = 2$, we see that

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\} \times X}, \mathcal{E}_{|\{x\} \times X}(K_X)) = \mathrm{Ext}^2(\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\} \times X}, \mathcal{E}_{|\{x\} \times X})^\vee \neq 0,$$

which implies $\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\}\times X}(K_X) \cong \mathcal{E}_{|\{x\}\times X}$. We also have $\dim \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\}\times X}, \mathcal{E}_{|\{x\}\times X}) = 2$. Then the integral functor $\Phi^{\mathcal{E}}_{X\to X}$ is an equivalence ([3]). By the general theory of Fourier-Mukai transform, $\phi(X)$ is the unique component of $M_H(v_0)$. Therefore ϕ is an isomorphism. Since

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{X\times X} + \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) - \mathcal{O}_\Delta$$

in $K(X \times X)$, we have (2.4). □

Remark 2.2. If $K_X = 0$, then $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_X, I_{\{x\}}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is an isomorphism. Hence $(\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\}\times X})^{\vee\vee}$ fits in a non-trivial extension

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to (\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\}\times X})^{\vee\vee} \to \mathcal{O}_X \to 0.$$

On the other hand, $(\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\}\times X})^{\vee\vee} = \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)$ if $K_X \neq 0$.

Remark 2.3. By the description of $(\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\}\times X})^{\vee\vee}$ in Remark 2.2, we also have $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\}\times X}), \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)) \cong k$. If the Kodaira-Spencer map $\mathrm{Ext}^1(k_x, k_x) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\}\times X}, \mathcal{E}_{|\{x\}\times X})$ is not isomorphic, for a subscheme Z of X with an exact sequence $0 \to k_x \to \mathcal{O}_Z \to k_x \to 0$, we have a splitting $\mathcal{E}_{|Z\times X} \cong \mathcal{E}^{\oplus 2}_{|\{x\}\times X}$ as an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. Since $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\}\times X}), \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)) \cong k$, we see that $I_Z = \ker(\mathcal{E}_{|Z\times X}, \mathcal{O}_{Z\times X}(K_X))$ is isomorphic to $I^{\oplus 2}_{\{x\}}$ as an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. Therefore the Kodaira-Spencer map is injective.

Proposition 2.4. *If* $\gcd(r, L, s) = 2$, $(L^2) - rs = 0$ *and* $L \equiv \frac{r}{2}K_X \mod 2$, *then* $M_H(r, L, \frac{s}{2})$ *is smooth of dimension 2, and the universal family defines a Fourier-Mukai transform.*

Proof. Let $X' \to \mathbb{F}_q$ be a reduction of X to a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $M_H(r, L, \frac{s}{2})'$ be the corresponding moduli space of stable sheaves on X'. By [13, Cor. 4.5], $\#M_H(r, L, \frac{s}{2})'(\mathbb{F}_q) = \#X'(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Hence $\dim M_H(r, L, \frac{s}{2}) = 2$. Since $\dim \mathrm{Ext}^1(E, E) \leq 2$ for all $E \in M_H(r, L, \frac{s}{2})$, $M_H(r, L, \frac{s}{2})$ is smooth of $\dim M_H(r, L, \frac{s}{2}) = 2$. Then we have $\mathrm{Hom}(E, E(K_X)) \neq 0$, which shows that $E(K_X) \cong E$. Therefore the universal family defines a Fourier-Mukai transform. □

Remark 2.5. For a stable locally free sheaf G with $\langle v(G)^2\rangle = -1$, we set $\mathcal{G} := \ker(G \boxtimes G^\vee \to \mathcal{O}_\Delta)$. Then $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{p_2}(p_1^*(G(K_X)), \mathcal{G}) \cong G(K_X)^\vee$ and the universal extension

$$0 \to \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{E} \to G(K_X) \boxtimes G(K_X)^\vee \to 0$$

defines a universal family of stable sheaves with Mukai vector $2\,\mathrm{rk}\, Gv(G) - v(k_x)$.

2.2. **The case where r is odd.** *For a numerically equivalence class ξ, let $N_H(r, \xi, \frac{s}{2})$ be the moduli space of stable sheaves E of* $\mathrm{rk}\, E = r$, $c_1(E) \mod K_X = \xi$ *and* $\chi(E) = (r + s)/2$. *Then we have a morphism* $N_H(r, \xi, \frac{s}{2}) \to \mathrm{Pic}^\xi(X)$, *where* $\mathrm{Pic}^\xi(X)$ *is the subscheme of* $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ *consisting of divisor classes L with $L \mod K_X = \xi$.*

Proposition 2.6. *If r is odd, then $N_H(r, \xi, \frac{s}{2}) \to \mathrm{Pic}^\xi(X)$ is a smooth morphism. In particular $M_H(v)$ is smooth of* $\dim M_H(v) = \langle v^2\rangle + 1$, *where* $L \mod K_X = \xi$ *and* $v = (r, L, \frac{s}{2})$.

Proof. We take $E \in M_H(v)$. If $K_X \neq 0$, then $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is smooth. Moreover $E \otimes K_X \ncong E$. Hence $M_H(v)$ is smooth at E. Assume that $K_X = 0$. We note that $\mathrm{char}(k) = 2$. For the Fourier-Mukai transform in (2.2), Proposition 2.1 implies $\det \Phi^{\mathcal{E}}_{X\to X}(E) = -\det E$. Thus we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}(X) & \xrightarrow{\Phi^{\mathcal{E}}_{X\to X}} & \mathbf{D}(X) \\ {\scriptstyle\det}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\det} \\ \mathrm{Pic}(X) & \xrightarrow{D} & \mathrm{Pic}(X) \end{array} \tag{2.6}$$

where D is the taking dual map. Hence $\Phi^{\mathcal{E}}_{X\to X}$ preserves the structure of fibration det. In particular, the smoothness of det is preserved under $\Phi^{\mathcal{E}}_{X\to X}$. Replacing E by $\Phi^{\mathcal{E}}_{X\to X}(E(nH))$, we may assume that E is locally free. Then the obstruction of infinitesimal lifting lives in $H^2(\mathcal{E}nd_0(E))$ by Lemma 2.8. Since $(\mathrm{rk}\, E, \mathrm{char}\, k) = 1$, $\mathcal{E}nd_0(E)$ is a direct summand of $\mathcal{E}nd(E)$. Since $\mathrm{Ext}^2(E, E) = \mathrm{Hom}(E, E)^\vee \cong k$, we get $H^2(\mathcal{E}nd_0(E)) = 0$, which implies det is smooth. Since $H^1(\mathcal{E}nd_0(E)) = \ker \mathrm{tr}^1$ is the tangent space of $M_H(v)$, $\dim M_H(v) = \langle v^2\rangle + 1$. □

By using [13, Cor. 4.5], *we get a similar result to* [12].

Proposition 2.7. *Assume that X is defined over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. If r is odd, then* $\#M_H(v)(\mathbb{F}_q) = \#\,\mathrm{Hilb}^{(\langle v^2\rangle+1)/2}_X(\mathbb{F}_q)$. *In particular $M_H(v)$ is irreducible.*

Lemma 2.8. *For a locally free sheaf $E_0 \in N_H(r, \xi, \frac{s}{2})$, the obstruction of infinitesimal lifting at E_0 with respect to the morphism $N_H(r, \xi, \frac{s}{2}) \to \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ belongs to $H^2(\mathcal{E}nd_0(E_0))$, where $\mathcal{E}nd_0(E_0) := \ker(\mathcal{E}nd(E_0) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}} \mathcal{O}_X)$ is the sheaf of trace free homomorphisms.*

Proof. Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be an Artinian local ring over k with $R/\mathfrak{m}\cong k$ and $(0\neq)\epsilon\in\mathfrak{m}$ satisfies $\mathfrak{m}\epsilon=0$. We set $R':=R/k\epsilon$. Assume that there is a locally free sheaf E' on $X\otimes_k R'$ and a line bundle L on $X\otimes_k R$ such that $L\otimes_R R'=\det E$. We take an open covering $\{U_i\}_i$ of X such that E' is trivial over U_i. We set $U_{ij}:=U_i\cap U_j$ and $U_{ijk}:=U_i\cap U_j\cap U_k$. Let $A_{ij}:\mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}\otimes_k R}^{\oplus r}\to\mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}\otimes_k R}^{\oplus r}$ be a lifting of the patching data $A'_{ij}:\mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}\otimes_k R'}^{\oplus r}\to\mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}\otimes_k R'}^{\oplus r}$ of E'. For the induced map $\det A_{ij}:\det\mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}\otimes_k R}^{\oplus r}\to\det\mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}\otimes_k R}^{\oplus r}$, $\det A_{ij}\equiv a_{ij}$ mod $k\epsilon$. Hence $(\det A_{ij})a_{ij}^{-1}=1+b_{ij}\epsilon$ $(b_{ij}\in H^0(U_{ij},\mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}}))$. We take $B_{ij}\in GL(r,\mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}})$ such that $\operatorname{tr}(B_{ij})=b_{ij}$. For $A_{ij}(I-B_{ij}\epsilon)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\det(A_{ij}(I-B_{ij}\epsilon))&=\det A_{ij}\det(I-B_{ij}\epsilon)\\&=\det A_{ij}(1-b_{ij}\epsilon)=(a_{ij}(1+b_{ij}\epsilon))(1-b_{ij}\epsilon)=a_{ij}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.7}$$

Replacing A_{ij} by $A_{ij}(I-B_{ij}\epsilon)$, we may assume that $\det A_{ij}=a_{ij}$. Then $A_{ki}A_{jk}A_{ij}=I+B_{ijk}\epsilon$, where $\{B_{ijk}\}$ is a 2-cocycle of $\mathcal{E}nd_0(E_0)$. If $A_{ij}(I+C_{ij}\epsilon)$ also induces an extension of isomorphism $\det E\cong L$, then there are isomorphisms $\psi_i:\det\mathcal{O}_{U_i\otimes_k R}^{\oplus r}\to\det\mathcal{O}_{U_i\otimes_k R}^{\oplus r}$ such that $a_{ij}=\psi_j\det(A_{ij}(I+C_{ij}\epsilon))\psi_i^{-1}$. Since $a_{ij}\equiv\psi_j\det A_{ij}\psi_i^{-1}\equiv\psi_j a_{ij}\psi_i^{-1}\mod k\epsilon$, there is $\psi'\in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{X\otimes_k R'})=R'$ such that $(\psi_i)\otimes R'=\psi'_{|U_i\otimes R'}$. Let $\psi\in R$ be an extension of ψ'. Replacing ψ_i by $\psi^{-1}\psi_i$, we may assume that $\psi_i=1+\lambda_i\epsilon$. Thus we have $a_{ij}=(1+\lambda_j\epsilon)\det(A_{ij}(I+C_{ij}\epsilon))(1+\lambda_i\epsilon)^{-1}$. Then $a_{ij}=a_{ij}(1+(\lambda_j+\operatorname{tr}C_{ij}-\lambda_i)\epsilon)$, which implies $\operatorname{tr}C_{ij}+\lambda_j-\lambda_i=0$. Let $\Lambda_i:\mathcal{O}_{U_i\otimes_k R}^{\oplus r}\to\mathcal{O}_{U_i\otimes_k R}^{\oplus r}$ be homomorphisms with $\operatorname{tr}\Lambda_i=\lambda_i$. Then

$$(I+\Lambda_j\epsilon)A_{ij}(I+C_{ij}\epsilon)(I+\Lambda_i\epsilon)^{-1}=A_{ij}(I+C'_{ij}\epsilon),\ C'_{ij}:=(A_{ij}^{-1}\Lambda_jA_{ij})+C_{ij}-\Lambda_i,$$

$\operatorname{tr}C'_{ij}=\lambda_j+\operatorname{tr}C_{ij}-\lambda_i=0$ and

$$\det(A_{ij}(I+C'_{ij}\epsilon))=\det A_{ij}(1+(\lambda_j+\operatorname{tr}C_{ij}-\lambda_i)\epsilon)=a_{ij}.$$

Moreover for the coboundary map ∂ of $\mathcal{E}nd_0(E_0)$, we have $\partial C'_{ij}=\partial C_{ij}$. If $B_{ijk}+\partial C'_{ij}=0$ for some $C'_{ij}\in\mathcal{E}nd_0(E_0)_{|U_{ij}}$, then we get a lifting E of E' with $\det E\cong L$. Therefore the obstruction lives in $H^2(\mathcal{E}nd_0(E_0))$. □

Proposition 2.9. *For $E\in M_H(r,L,\frac{s}{2})$, Zariski tangent space is $\ker(\operatorname{Ext}^1(E,E)\overset{\operatorname{tr}^1}{\to}H^1(\mathcal{O}_X))$ and*

$$\dim M_H(r,L,\tfrac{s}{2})\geq(L^2)-rs+1.$$

Proof. We only treat the case where $K_X=0$. The first claim is obvious by the definition of $M_H(r,L,\frac{s}{2})$. For the second claim, we may assume that E is locally free by using a Fourier-Mukai transform. In this case, we have an exact sequence

$$0\to\ker\operatorname{tr}^1\to\operatorname{Ext}^1(E,E)\to H^1(\mathcal{O}_X)\to H^2(\mathcal{E}nd_0(E))\to 0.$$

Hence

$$\dim M_H(r,L,\tfrac{s}{2})\geq\dim\ker\operatorname{tr}^1-\dim H^2(\mathcal{E}nd_0(E))=(\langle v(E)^2\rangle+2)-1=(L^2)-rs+1.$$

□

References

[1] Artin, M., *Some Numerical Criteria for Contractability of Curves on Algebraic Surfaces,* Amer. J. Math. **84**, No. 3 (1962), 485-496.

[2] Bombieri, E., Mumford, D., *Enriques' classification of surfaces in char. p. III.* Invent. Math. **35** (1976), 197–232.

[3] Bridgeland, T., *Equivalences of triangulated categories and Fourier-Mukai transforms,* Bull. London Math. Soc. **31** (1999), 25–34, math.AG/9809114

[4] Cossec, F., Dolgachev, I., *Enriques surfaces I,* Birkhäuser 1989

[5] Ekedahl, T., Hyland, J., Shepherd-Barron, N., *Moduli and periods of simply connected Enriques surfaces,* arXiv:1210.0342.

[6] Illusie, L., *Complexe de de Rham-Witt et cohomologie cristalline.* (French) Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **12** (1979), no. 4, 501–661.

[7] Kim, H., *Exceptional bundles on nodal Enriques surfaces,* Manuscripta Math. **82** (1994), no. 1, 1–13.

[8] Liedtke, C., *Arithmetic Moduli and Lifting of Enriques Surfaces,* arXiv:1007.0787, J. Reine Angew. Math. **706** (2015), 35-65.

[9] Mukai, S., *Counterexamples to Kodaira vanishing and Yau's inequality in positive characteristics,* Kyoto J. Math. **53**, (2013), 515-532.

[10] Nuer, H., *A note on the existence of stable vector bundles onEnriques surfaces,* arXiv:1406.3328. Selecta Math. to appear

[11] Shepherd-Barron, N., *Unstable vector bundles and linear systems on surfaces in characteristic p,* Invent. math. **106** (1991) 243 - 262.

[12] Yoshioka, K., *Twisted stability and Fourier-Mukai transform I*, Compositio Math. **138** (2003), 261–288.

[13] Yoshioka, K., *A note on stable sheaves on Enriques surfaces,* arXiv:1410.1794, Tohoku Math. J. to appear

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kobe University, Kobe, 657, Japan
E-mail address: yoshioka@math.kobe-u.ac.jp